# Miami-Dade County CoC SCORING TOOL FOR <u>NEW</u> HUD PROJECT APPLICATION

## A. APPLICANT EXPERIENCE AND PERFORMANCE - TOTAL OF 24 POINTS

	Criteria	Points	Score
1.	Past experience of applicant, its employees, or its partners/subcontractors in providing the solicited service and maximizing the use of mainstream resources (response provided in Attachment 4, Q 2B.1 & 2)	4 Points	
2.	For projects participating in HMIS: Annual Progress Reports for similar projects are submitted on time and reflect achievement of HUD priorities (1) exits to Permanent Housing; (2) increase household income; and (3) program fiscal utilization (response provided in <i>APR</i> )  (1) Subtract leavers to all destinations (APR Q23a and Q23b) from number of participants (APR Q7) to determine number of stayers; 2) Add leavers to permanent housing destinations (APR Q23a & Q23b); 3) Add stayers (Step 1) and leavers to permanent housing destinations (Step 2) and divide by number of participants (APR Q7)  (2) Q19a3 Client Cash Income Change - Income Source - by Entry and Latest Status/Exit [% of Persons who Accomplished Measure: Number of Adults with Any Income (i.e., Total Income)], and  (3) Total expenses plus admin divided by amount of contract award.  New Agencies not participating in HMIS: a record of system performance from an equivalent database that is validated by a third party payer, and a compelling explanation of the agency's connections to this community which positions them to serve homeless households considering the HUD priorities and achievement of HUD System Performance Measures  (1) Rate of persons who exit program into permanent destinations  (2) Rate of persons whose income increases as a result of program participation, and  (3) Annualized costs per household served  Victim Service Providers whose primary mission is to provide direct services to survivors of violence must provide:  (1) Rate of housing placement for survivors (% of persons who exit the program successfully)  (2) Improvements in safety of survivors (% of persons whose risk of harm was reduced as a result of program participation), and  (3) How the project addresses multiple barriers faced by survivors (% of barriers selected in the application)	Points	This score will be provided by HT staff
3.	% by 6 (total pts available) to obtain score.  Proposer received a significant audit finding(s) and failed to provide an acceptable explanation of a finding(s) from the County's due diligence review after a cure period, and/or failed to submit copies of recent monitoring reports with significant audit finding(s) from major funding sources (response provided in Attachment 4, Q 2B.5 & 6)	-4 Points	This score will be provided by HT staff

### B. SCOPE OF SERVICES – TOTAL OF 30 POINTS

Criteria	Points	Score
Proposal describes the target population to be served (response provided in	4	
Attachment 4, Q 3B. 1)	Points	
2. Proposal describes strategies for obtaining and keeping persons served in	4	
permanent housing (response provided in Attachment 4, Q 4A. 1)	Points	
3. Proposal describes the anticipated outcomes (response provided in Attachment	4	
4, Q 3B. 1)	Points	
4. Proposal describes how healthcare and housing resources are being coordinated	10	
and leveraged and ideally suited to reduce unsheltered homelessness.	Points	
(Attachment 4 Q.4A.1)	1 011113	
5. Proposal has a specific plan for ensuring program participants will be assisted to		
obtain the benefits of mainstream social and employment programs for which	4	
they are eligible (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, Food Stamps, local Workforce	Points	
office, early childhood education) (Attachment 4. Q4A. 2)		
6. Proposal agrees to follow Housing First principles (response provided in	4	
Attachment 4, Q 3B. 5)	Points	
Comments (comments are required for any rating of 1 or less points):		

### C. APPLICANT CAPACITY- AND PERFORMANCE - TOTAL OF 8 POINTS

	Criteria	Points	Score
1.	Proposed timeline for project implementation and occupancy is reasonable – no later than 6 months after the award of funds (response provided in Attachment 4, Q3B. 2)	4 Points	
2.	Agency demonstrates timely implementation of past projects	4 Points	This score will be provided by HT staff
Comments (comments are required for any rating of 1 or less points):			

, , ,

## D. DETAILED BUDGET AND JUSTIFICATION - UP TO 12 POINTS

Criteria	Points	Score
Proposed project budget is:		
<ul> <li>a. clear, as evidenced by following the HUD budget categories</li> </ul>		
b. detailed, as evidenced by a comprehensive budget narrative	12	
c. reasonable, as evidenced by including only allowable activities, and	Points	
d. cost effective, as compared to other projects providing the same component		
[PH-RRH, PH-PSH (response provided in Attachment 5)		
Comments (comments are required for any rating of 1 or less points):		
Comments (comments are required for any rating of 1 or less points):		

#### E. BONUS POINTS - 26 POINTS

	Criteria	Points	Score
1.	For CoC providers who reallocated a project(s), in part or whole, to create new reallocation permanent housing program consistent with CoC priorities	14 Points	This score will be provided by HT staff
2.	Racial Equity Implementation. Provider has identified barriers and taken steps	12	

to a) promote racial equity, b) address the needs of LGTBQ individuals in the provision of housing and service delivery. (Attachment 4 Q.2b.4).	
Comments (comments are required for any rating of 1 or less points):	

## F. TOTAL POINTS - 100 POINTS

Total Points Available	Total Score
100 Points	
Provider Name:	•
Project Name:	
Selection Committee Member Name:	
Selection Committee Member Signature:	
Date:	