

**Miami-Dade County CoC**  
**SCORING TOOL FOR NEW HUD PROJECT APPLICATION**

**A. APPLICANT EXPERIENCE AND PERFORMANCE – TOTAL OF 24 POINTS**

Criteria	Points	Score
1. Past experience of applicant, its employees, or its partners/subcontractors in providing the solicited service and maximizing the use of mainstream resources (response provided in Attachment 4, Q.2B.1)	4 Points	
<p>2. <b>For projects participating in HMIS:</b> Annual Progress Reports for similar projects are submitted on time and reflect achievement of HUD priorities (1) exits to Permanent Housing; (2) increase household income; and (3) program fiscal utilization (response provided in <i>APR</i>)</p> <p><i>(1) Subtract leavers to all destinations (APR Q23a and Q23b) from number of participants (APR Q7) to determine number of stayers; 2) Add leavers to permanent housing destinations (APR Q23a &amp; Q23b); 3) Add stayers (Step 1) and leavers to permanent housing destinations (Step 2) and divide by number of participants (APR Q7)</i></p> <p><i>(2) Q19a3 Client Cash Income Change - Income Source - by Entry and Latest Status/Exit [% of Persons who Accomplished Measure: Number of Adults with Any Income (i.e., Total Income)], and</i></p> <p><i>(3) Total expenses plus admin divided by amount of contract award.</i></p> <p><b>New Agencies not participating in HMIS:</b> a record of system performance from an equivalent database that is validated by a third party payer, and a compelling explanation of the agency's connections to this community which positions them to serve homeless households considering the HUD priorities and achievement of HUD System Performance Measures</p> <p>(1) Rate of persons who exit program into permanent destinations  (2) Rate of persons whose income increases as a result of program participation, and  (3) Annualized costs per household served</p> <p><b>Scoring:</b> Divide sum of all 3 %'s by 3 to obtain average %; multiply the average % by 6 (total pts available) to obtain score.</p>	20 Points	For existing providers this score will be provided by HT staff
3. Proposer received a significant audit finding(s) and failed to provide an acceptable explanation of a finding(s) from the County's due diligence review after a cure period, and/or failed to submit copies of recent monitoring reports with significant audit finding(s) from major funding sources (response provided in Attachment 4, Q.2B.5 & 6)	-4 Points	This score will be provided by HT staff
Comments (comments are required for any rating of 1 or less points):		

**B. SCOPE OF SERVICES – TOTAL OF 30 POINTS**

Criteria	Points	Score
1. Proposal describes the target youth population to be served (response provided in Attachment 4, Q.3B. 1)	4 Points	
2. Proposal describes strategies for obtaining and keeping persons served in permanent housing (response provided in Attachment 4, Q.4A. 1)	4 Points	
3. Proposal describes the anticipated outcomes (response provided in Attachment 4, Q.3B. 1)	4 Points	

4. Proposal describes how healthcare and housing resources are being coordinated and leveraged and ideally suited to reduce unsheltered homelessness. (Attachment 4 Q.4A.1)	10 Points	
5. Proposal has a specific plan for ensuring program participants will be assisted to obtain the benefits of mainstream social and employment programs for which they are eligible (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, Food Stamps, local Workforce office, early childhood education) (Attachment 4. Q4A. 2)	4 Points	
6. Proposal agrees to follow Housing First principles (response provided in Attachment 4, Q.3B. 5)	4 Points	
Comments (comments are required for any rating of 1 or less points):		

**C. APPLICANT CAPACITY- AND PERFORMANCE – TOTAL OF 8 POINTS**

Criteria	Points	Score
1. Proposed timeline for project implementation and occupancy is reasonable – no later than 6 months after the award of funds (response provided in Attachment 4, Q.3B. 2)	4 Points	
2. Agency demonstrates timely implementation of past projects	4 Points	For existing providers, this score will be provided by HT staff
Comments (comments are required for any rating of 1 or less points):		

**D. DETAILED BUDGET AND JUSTIFICATION - UP TO 12 POINTS**

Criteria	Points	Score
1. Proposed project budget is: a. clear, as evidenced by following the HUD budget categories b. detailed, as evidenced by a comprehensive budget narrative c. reasonable, as evidenced by including only allowable activities, and d. cost effective, as compared to other projects providing the same component [PH-RRH, PH-PSH (response provided in Attachment 5)	12 Points	
Comments (comments are required for any rating of 1 or less points):		

**E. BONUS POINTS – 26 POINTS**

Criteria	Points	Score
1. Past experience of applicant, its employees, or its partners/subcontractors in leveraging other Federal, State, local and private sector funds. Attachment 4, Q.2B.2.	4 Points	
2. Partnerships are utilized in the application enhance services to YYA. Attachment 4, Q.4A.3.	4 Points	
3. Hiring youth with lived experience. Attachment 4, Q.2B.4.	6 Points	
4. Provider has a plan for serving special populations, including but not limited to LGBTQIA+ and gender non-conforming youth, minor youth, systems-involved youth (justice and foster care), victims of sex trafficking and exploitation, and youth with co-occurring diagnosis (i.e., mental health, substance abuse, HIV, and other disabilities). Attachment 4 Q.3b.1. & Q.3b.4.	6 Points	
5. Provider submitted a video or support letter signed by youth and young adults served by the agency.	6 Points	

Comments (comments are required for any rating of 1 or less points):

**F. TOTAL POINTS – 100 POINTS**

<b>Total Points Available</b>	<b>Total Score</b>
100 Points	
Provider Name:	
Project Name:	
Selection Committee Member Name:	
Selection Committee Member Signature:	
Date:	